



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/767,809	01/23/2001	Philip Braica	OAQ-021	3730
759	90 06/06/2005		EXAMINER	
LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP			TRAN, PHUOC	
28 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA 02109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
20000, 000 0000			2621	
		DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/767,809	BRAICA, PHILIP			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Phuoc Tran	2621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	August 2004.				
·= · · _ -	his action is non-final.				
,—					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-35 is/are withdrest. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 23 January 2001 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objected ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ection is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received and (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/767,809

Art Unit: 2621

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Species I which includes claims 1-10 in the

Page 2

reply filed on 8/20/04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the claims

are not directed to inventions that are independent and distinct, and the examiner would

not be burdened by examining all claims in the application. This is not found persuasive

because applicant fails to submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

showing the species to be obvious variants and the search and examination of other

inventions (claims 11-35) would be a serious burden on the examiner because the search

and examination required for claims 11-35 are not required for claims 1-10. For

example, if claims 11-35 were examined, a search in class/subclass 382/260, 205, 282

would be required. A search in such areas is not required for claim 1-10.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 11-35 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or

linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the

reply filed on 8/10/04.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such

treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 09/767,809

Art Unit: 2621

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lee [U. S. Patent No. 6,463,175].

Lee discloses a method of compensating for distortion at an edge of an image during image processing, said method comprising: detecting the edge in the image Figs 3, 4, 5; col. 6, lines 5-67); and sharpening the detected edge, wherein the degree of sharpening is directly proportional to a degree of distortion in the image (col. 9, lines 34-37, lines 59-64; also Figs. 3, 4, 5 show that an edge is sharpened according to a degree of distortion in the image).

- 5. Claims 2-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kojima, Nakayama et al, Okubo disclose the state of the art of image processing.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuoc Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7399. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-FRI.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on (571) 272-4753. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PHUOCTRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER